

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Waite-Hughes
Given Name	Lauren
Person ID	1285763
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Waite-Hughes
Given Name	Lauren
Person ID	1285763
Title	Our Vision
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Local residents are not being listened too, I highly doubt these survey results will be acknowledged
Family Name	Waite-Hughes
Given Name	Lauren
Person ID	1285763
Title	Our Strategic Objectives
Type	Web
Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Meet our housing need 2. Create neighbourhoods of choice 3. Ensure a thriving and productive economy in the districts involved 4. Maximise the potential arising from our national and international assets 6. Promote the sustainable movement of people, goods and information 7. Ensure that districts involved are more resilient and carbon neutral 8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces 9. Ensure access to physical and social infrastructure 10. Promote the health and wellbeing of communities

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	The development of the Timperley wedge would destroy a huge swathe of green belt land without being close to transport hubs and with a lack of infrastructure to support the thousands of new homes. This means that part of the proposal wouldn't meet the majority of the strategic objectives. It would destroy the current access to green spaces and would negatively impact the environment. The Timperley wedge provides a valuable carbon sink between the airport and surrounding homes. It is also an area prone to flooding and I am concerned that by building on this land and removing the area that water can be absorbed into it will cause flooding in the surrounding areas
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Remove the proposal to build on the Timperley wedge and find a brownfield site which is close to local transport links to develop
Family Name	Waite-Hughes
Given Name	Lauren
Person ID	1285763
Title	Our Spatial Strategy
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not	The south of Manchester is sufficiently populated and the north has a larger potential for growth and a higher demand for housing

to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Remove the recommendation to build on the Timperley wedge
Family Name	Waite-Hughes
Given Name	Lauren
Person ID	1285763
Title	JP-Strat 9 Southern Areas
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	The areas mentioned are sufficiently developed. The proposal to destroy the Timperley wedge doesn't meet the strategic objectives and actively goes against them. By destroying access to green spaces rather than developing brownfield sites and being close to local transport links. This area of the proposal should be removed
Family Name	Waite-Hughes
Given Name	Lauren
Person ID	1285763
Title	JP-Strat 10 Manchester Airport
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	I see no value in increasing housing next to a large airport while destroying the green open spaces of the Timperley wedge. It wouldn't boost the local economy but would rather devalue properties in the area and stretch already overloaded services
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Remove the recommendation to build on the Timperley wedge
Family Name	Waite-Hughes
Given Name	Lauren
Person ID	1285763
Title	JP-Strat 12 Main Town Centres
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to	Building high rise flats in altrincham centre has already made a negative impact. I would oppose the future building of these structures

**co-operate. Please be
as precise as possible.**